Arm & Hand Rehabilitation after Stroke: From Physiology to Participation

SV Duff, EdD, PT, OTR/L, CHT; Physical Therapy, Chapman University, Irvine, CA

S Kantak, PT, PhD; Physical Therapy, Arcadia University, Glenside, PA;

Moss Rehabilitation Research Institute, Elkins Park, PA

GT Thielman, EdD, MSPT, ATC; Physical Therapy, University of the Sciences, Philadelphia, PA VA Hill, PhD, OTR/L; Master of Occupational Therapy, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

Upon completion of this course, you will be able to:

- 1. Identify at least two mechanisms of, and strategies to promote plasticity time- and experience dependent plasticity that foster arm and hand motor recovery.
- 2. Analyze compensatory strategies and their impact on function.
- 3. Apply principles and evidence-based strategies to improve functional capacity and promote skill acquisition.
- 4. Assess behavioral theory and psychosocial factors associated with behavioral change that may be necessary to improve participation.

OUTLINE

- I. Introduction to the clinical problem
 - A. Stroke can occur at any age: neonates to older adults (*Atkinson et al., 2017b; Basu, 2014; Beslow et al., 2011; Cramer, 2008*)
 - B. Clinical problems (Beslow et al., 2011; Kimberley et al., 2017; Lang et al., 2015; Winstein & Kay, 2015)
 - 1. Infants: Late onset of hemiparesis may delay therapy referral and services
 - 2. Despite innovative interventions and better activity performance there is limited change in recovery patterns and participation
 - C. Limitations to positive recovery (Friel et al., 2012, 2013; Hardwick et al., 2017; Lang et al., 2015; Winstein & Kay, 2015)
 - 1. Reduced opportunity for enriched experiences
 - 2. Psychosocial barriers
 - 3. Timing and intensity of rehabilitation
 - D. Agenda
- II. What is compensation in the midst of recovery?

A. Influences

(Burke & Cramer, 2013; Ezaz et al., 2018; Jones, 2017; Mani et al., 2014; Sainburg & Duff, 2006)

- 1. Neural substrate diminished
- 2. Delayed or variable recovery
- 3. Need for independence vital
- B. Atypical movement patterns
 - 1. Reduced degrees of freedom (Bernstein, 1967; Fisher & Winstein, 2000; Vereijken et al., 1992)
 - 2. Insufficient muscle activation (Atkinson & Duff, 2017; Ellis et al., 2018)
 - 3. Slow, deliberate, inefficient movement (Dawson et al., 2010; Fisher & Winstein, 2000)
 - 4. Disuse

- C. Resultant motor control
 - 1. Limited or ineffective prehension patterns (Atkinson & Duff, 2017; Duff & Wolff, in press; Jeannerod, 1984; Goodale & Humphrey, 1998)
 - 2. Absent or labored in-hand manipulation (Duff & Wolff, In-Press, Exner, 1993)
 - 3. Interlimb coordination (Duff et al. 2018; Kantak et al., 2016a; Miller et al., 2018; Krumlinde-Sundholm et al., 2017)
- D. Optimal Theory and fostering motor learning (Muratori et al., 2015; Shumway-Cook & Woollacott, 2017; Wulf et al., 2017)
- III. What drives neuroplastic mechanisms for motor recovery and learning?
 - A. Mechanisms of restitution and learning
 - 1. Predictors and mechanisms of change in impairments
 - 2. Interventions to remediate impairments
 - B. Time-dependent changes in neural networks following stroke
 - 1. Potential interventions to harness early post-stroke neural reorganization
 - C. Experience dependent changes in neural reorganization
 - 1. Principles that augment experience-dependent plasticity
 - 2. Implications on upper extremity interventions
- IV. Interventions to augment capacity and skill acquisition
 - A. Traditional- Structuring Practice to Facilitate Recovery
 - 1. Task Related Training
 - 2. Motor Learning
 - B. CIMT
 - C. Station-based, UE Task Related Training sessions
 - D. Priming
 - 1. Movement and Sensory Based
 - 2. Mirror Therapy
 - 3. transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS)- with demonstration
 - E. Documenting change as shown by Current Research findings
 - 1. Behavioral changes- outcome measures
 - 2. Neuroplastic changes with Biomarkers (i.e., fMRI)
 - F. Planned studies and Future
- V. Behavioral theories, psychosocial factors, and participation
 - A. Theories
 - 1. Motor Relearning
 - 2. Task Oriented Approach (Mathiowetz & Bass-Hugen 1994); Gillen & Burkhardt, 2004)
 - a. Systems model of motor behavior
 - b. Ecological Approach

- c. Dynamical Systems Theory
 - i. Treatment principles Decisional tree for UE rehab (Hatem et al., 2016)
 - ii. Outcome measurements of functional recovery i.e., AMPS
- 3. Health Belief Model (Champion & Skinner, 2008)
 - a. Client health beliefs and impact on treatment engagement and motor learning
 - b. Treatment principles
 - c. Outcome measures of health beliefs
 - Health Beliefs Questionnaire
- 4. Transtheoretical Model (Prochaska et al., 2008)
 - a. Clients stage of readiness to make recovery changes
 - b. Treatment principles
 - c. Outcome measures of readiness to change Readiness & Confidence to Change Q
- B. Participation
 - 1. International Classification of Function (ICF World Health Organization)
 - 2. Define participation
 - a. Activity selection and engagement
 - b. Community participation
 - 3. Treatment principles. Examples:
 - Review of effective intervention for motor recovery (Nilsen et al., 2015)
 - Task-oriented training (Rowe & Neville, 2018)
 - Accelerated Skill Acquisition Program (Winstein et al., 2013)
 - Interventions for stroke prevention (Hill & Towfighi, 2017)
 - 4. Outcome measurements of participation
 - a. SIS
 - b. Self-Efficacy
 - c. ASPEN/ MAPA
- VI. Questions, discussion, conclusion and take-home points

References

- Atkinson H, Duff SV. Examination and Evaluation of Neuromotor Function. In Atkinson H, Nixon-Cave K, Smith SE, eds. *Pediatric Stroke Rehabilitation: An Interprofessional and Collaborative Approach.* Slack Inc; 2017a.
- Basu AP. Early intervention after perinatal stroke: opportunities and challenges. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2014;56(6):516-21.
- Bernstein N. The coordination and regulation of movements. New York: Pergamon Press. 1967.
- Beslow LA, Smith S, Vossough A, et al. Hemorrhagic transformation of childhood arterial stroke. *Stroke.* 2011;42(4):941-946.
- Burke E, Cramer SC. Biomarkers and predictors of restorative therapy effects after stroke. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep.* 2013;13(2):329. doi:10.1007/s11910-012-0329-9.
- Cassidy JM, Tran G, Quinlan EB, Cramer SC. Neuroimaging identifies patients most likely to respond to a restorative stroke therapy. *Stroke*. 2018;49:433–8. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.117.018844.
- Champion VL & Skinner CS The health belief model. In K. Glanz, BK Rimer, & K Viswanath, eds. Health Behavior and Health Education: Theory, practice, and research. 4 Ed. Jossey-Bass: San Francisco, CA; 2008.
- Clarkson AN, Huang BS, MacIsaac SE, Mody I, Carmichael ST. Reducing excessive GABA-mediated tonic inhibition promotes functional recovery after stroke. *Nature.* 2010;468:305–9. doi:10.1038/nature09511.
- Cortez JC, Goldsmith J, Harran MD, et al.. A short and distinct time window for recovery of arm motor control early after stroke revealed with a global measure of trajectory kinematics. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair.* 2017;31(6):552-60.

Cramer SC. Repairing the human brain after stroke II. Restorative therapies. Ann Neurol.2008;63:549-60.

- Dawson AM, Buxbaum LJ, Duff SV. The impact of left hemisphere stroke on force control with familiar and novel objects: neuroanatomic substrates and relationship to apraxia. *Brain Res.* 2010;1317:124-36.
- Dorsch AK, Thomas S, Xu X, Kaiser W, Dobkin BH, SIRRACT investigators T, et al. SIRRACT: An International Randomized Clinical Trial of Activity Feedback During Inpatient Stroke Rehabilitation Enabled by Wireless Sensing. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair.* 2015;29:407–15.
- Duff SV, Miller A, Quinn L, Bishop L, Youdan G, Ruthrauff H, Wade E. A Novel Method for Quantitative Assessment of Interlimb Coordination in Persons with Hemiparesis Post-Stroke. Poster Presentation, *American Soc Neurorehabil.* Nov 2018.
- Duff SV, Shumway-Cook A, Woollacott MH. Clinical management of reach grasp and manipulation disorders. In Shumway-Cook A, Woollacott MH, eds. *Motor Control: Theory and Practical Applications*. 5th ed. Baltimore: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. 2017.
- Duff SV, Wolff AL. Fine Motor Skill Development in Children and Youth with Unilateral Cerebral Palsy. Springer Pub; eds. In Press.
- Ellis MD, Carmona C, Drogos J, Dewald JPA. Progressive Abduction Loading Therapy with Horizontal-Plane Viscous Resistance Targeting Weakness and Flexion Synergy to Treat Upper Limb Function in Chronic Hemiparetic Stroke: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Front Neurol.* 2018;9:71.
- Ezaz N, Xu J, Branscheidt M, et al. Evidence for a subcortical origin of mirror movements after stroke: a longitudinal study. *Brain.* 2018. doi: 10.1093/brain/awx384.
- Exner CE. Content validity of the In-Hand Manipulation Test. Am J Occup Ther. 1993;47(6):505-13.
- Fisher BE, Winstein CJ, Velicki MR. Deficits in compensatory trajectory adjustments after unilateral sensorimotor stroke. *Exp Brain Res.* 2000;132(3):328-44.
- Friel KM, Chakrabarty S, Kuo HC, Martin J. Using motor behavior during an early critical period to restore skilled limb movement after damage to the corticospinal system during development. *J Neurosci.* 2012;32(27):9265-76.
- Gillen G, Burkhardt A. Stroke Rehabilitation: A Function-Based Approach (2nd ed), St. Louis, MO; 2004.
- Goodale MA, Humphrey GK. The objects of action and perception. *Cognition.* 1998;67(1-2):181-207.
- Grefkes C, Ward NS. Cortical reorganization after stroke: how much and how functional? *Neuroscientist.* 2014;20:56–70.
- Hardwick RM, Rajan VA, Bastain AJ, Krakauer JW, Celnik PA. Motor learning in stroke: trained patients are not equal to untrained patients with less impairment. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*. 2017;31(2):178-189.

- Hatem SM, Saussez G, della Faille M, et al. Rehabilitation of motor function after stroke: A multiple systematic review focused on techniques to stimulate upper extremity recovery. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2016;13:10:442.
- Hill VA, Vickrey BG, Cheng EM, et al. A pilot trial of a lifesyle intervention for stroke survivors: design of healthy eating and lifesyle after stroke (HEALS). *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2017;26(12):2806-13.
- Hill VA, Towfighi A. Modifiable risk factors for stroke and strategies for stroke prevention. *Semin Neurol.* 2017;37(3):237-58.

Jacobsohn L, Rodrigues, P, Vasconcelos O, Danila Corbetta D, Joao Barreiros J. Lateral Manual Asymmetries: A Longitudinal Study From Birth to 24 Months. *Dev Psychobiol.* 2014;56:58–72.

- Janssen H, Ada L, Bernhardt J, McElduff P, Pollack M, Nilsson M, et al. An enriched environment increases activity in stroke patients undergoing rehabilitation in a mixed rehabilitation unit: a pilot non-randomized controlled trial. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2014;36:255–62. doi:10.3109/09638288.2013.788218.
- Jeannerod M. The timing of natural prehesion movements. J Mot Behav. 1984;16(3):235-54.
- Jones TA. Motor compensation and its effects on neural reoganization after stroke. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2017;18(5):267-80.
- Kantak S, Jax S, Wittenberg G. Bimanual coordination: A missing piece of arm rehabilitation after stroke. *Restor Neurol Neurosci.* 2017;35(4):347-364.
- Kantak S, McGrath R, Zahedi N, Luchmee D. Behavioral and neurophysiological mechanisms underlying motor skill learning in patients with post-stroke hemiparesis. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2018;129(1):1-12.
- Kantak SS, Zahedi N, McGrath R. Complex skill training transfers to improved performance and control of simpler tasks after stroke. *Phys Ther.* 2017;97. doi:10.1093/ptj/pzx042.
- Kantak S, McGrath R, Zahedi N. Goal conceptualization and symmetry of arm movements affect bimanual coordination in individuals after stroke. *Neurosci Lett.* 2016a;626:86-93.
- Kantak SS, Zahedi N, McGrath RL. Task-Dependent Bimanual Coordination After Stroke: Relationship With Sensorimotor Impairments. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2016b;97:798–806. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2016.01.020.
- Kitago T, Liang J, Huang VS, et al. Improvement after constraint-induced movement therapy: recovery of normal motor control or task-specific compensation? *Neurorehabil Neural Repair.* 2013;27(2):99-109.
- Kimberley TJ, Novak I, Boyd L, Fowler E, Larsen D. Stepping up to rethink the future of rehabilitation: IV Step considerations and inspirations. *Pediatr Phys Ther.* 2017; 29(Suppl 3):S76-S85.
- Kleim JA, Jones TA. Principles of experience-dependent neural plasticity: implications for rehabilitation after brain damage. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2008;51:S225-S239.
- Krumlinde-Sundholm L, Lindkvist B, Plantin J, Hoare B. Development of the assisting hand assessment for adults following stroke: a Rasch-built bimanual performance measure.
- Lang CE, Lohse KR, Birkenmeir RL. Dose and timing in neurorehabilitation: Prescribing therapy after stroke. *Curr Opin Neurol.* 2015;28(6):549-555.
- Lohse KR, Lang CE, Boyd LA. Is more better? Using metadata to explore dose-response relationships in stroke rehabilitation. *Stroke*. 2014;45:2053–8.
- Mani S, Przybyla A, Good DC, Haaland KY, Sainburg RL. Contralesional arm preference depends on hemisphere of damage and target location in unilateral stroke patients. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair.* 2014;28(6):584-593.
- Marneweck M, Hsing-Ching K, Smorenburg ARP, et al. The relationship between hand function and overlapping motor representations of the hands in the contralesional hemisphere in unilateral spastic cerebral palsy. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair.* 2018;32(1):62-72.
- Mathiowetz V, & Bass-Hugen J. Motor behavior research: Implications for therapeutic approaches to central nervous system dysfunction. *Am J Occup Ther.* 1994;48:733-745
- McCabe J, Monkiewicz M, Holcomb J, Pundik S, Daly JJ. Comparison of Robotics, Functional Electrical Stimulation, and Motor Learning Methods for Treatment of Persistent Upper Extremity Dysfunction After Stroke: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2015;96:981–90.
- Miller A, Duff SV, Quinn L, Bishop L, Youdan G, Ruthrauff H, Wade E. Development of Sensor– Based Measures of Upper Extremity Interlimb Coordination. 40th International IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Conference, (EMBC), Honolulu, HI, July, 2018.
- Mirbagheri MM, Rymer WZ. Time-course of changes in arm impairment after stroke: variables predicting motor recovery over 12 months. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2008;89(8):1507-13.
- Muratori LM, Lamberg EM, Quinn L, Duff SV. Applying principles of motor learning and control to upper extremity rehabilitation. *J Hand Ther.* 2013;26(2):94-102.

- Mutha PK, Stapp LH, Sainburg RL, Haaland KY. Motor adaptation in ideomotor apraxia. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc.* 2017;23(2):139-149.
- Nilsen DM, Gillen G, Geller D, Hreha K, Osei E, Saleem GT Effectiveness of interventions to improve occupational performance of people with motor impairments after stroke: An evidence-based review. *Am J Occup Ther.* 2015;68(1):.
- Nudo RJ, Wise BM, SiFuentes F, Milliken GW. Neural substrates for the effects of rehabilitative training on motor recovery after ischemic infarct. *Science*. 1996;272:1791–4.
- Prochaska JO, Redding CA, Evers KE. The transtheoretical model and stages of change. In K. Glanz, BK Rimer, & K Viswanath, eds. Health Behavior and Health Education: Theory, practice, and research. 4 Ed. Jossey-Bass: San Francisco, CA; 2008.
- Rehme AK, Eickhoff SB, Wang LE, Fink GR, Grefkes C. Dynamic causal modeling of cortical activity from the acute to the chronic stage after stroke. *Neuroimage.* 2011;55:1147–58. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage. 2011.01.014.
- Sainburg RL, Duff SV. Does motor lateralization have implications for stroke rehabilitation? *J Rehabil Res Dev.* 2006;43(3):311-22.
- Shalkh T, Goussev V, Feldman AG, Levin MF. Arm-trunk coordination for beyond-the-reach movements in adults with stroke. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair.* 2014;28(4):355-66.
- Shumway-Cook A, Woollacott MH. *Motor Control: Translating Research into Clinical Practice.* Wolters Kluwer, 5th edition, 2017.
- Stinear CM, Byblow WD, Ward SH. An update on predicting motor recovery after stroke. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med.* 2014;57:489–98.
- Theilman G. Insights into upper limb kinematics and trunk control one year after task-related training in chronic post-stroke individuals. *J Hand Ther.* 2013;26(2):156-60.
- Thielman G, Kaminski T, Gentile AM. Rehabilitation of reaching after stroke: comparing 2 training protocols utilizing trunk restraint. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair.* 2008;22(6):697-705.
- Vereijken B, Whiting HTA, Newell KM, van Emmerik REA. Free(z)ing degrees of freedom in skill acquisition. *J Motor Behav.* 1992;24(1):133-42.
- Windmer M, Held JP, Wittmann F, Lambercy O, Lutz, Luft AR. Does motivation matter in upper-limb rehabilitation after stroke? ArmeoSenso-Reward: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials*. 2017;18"580/
- Winstein CJ, Kay DB. Translating the science into practice: shaping rehabilitation practice to enhance recovery after brain damage. *Prog Brain Res.* 2015;218:331-60.
- Winstein C, Wolf SL, Dromerick AW, Lane CJ. Interdisciplinary Comprehensive Arm Rehabilitation Evaluation (ICARE): A randomized controlled trial protocol. *BMC Neurology*, 2013, 13(1):5.
- White JH, Alborough K, Janssen H, Spratt N, Jordan L, Pollack M. Exploring staff experience of an enriched environment within stroke rehabilitation: a qualitative sub-study. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2014;36(21):1783–9. doi:10.3109/09638288.2013.872200.
- World Health Organization. International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health: ICF. Geneva, 2001.
- Wulf G, Lewthwaite R, Cardozo P, Chiviacowsky S. Triple play: Additive contributions of enhanced expectancies, autonomy support, and external attentional focus to motor learning. *Q J Exp Psych* (*Hove*). 2017;24:1-9